1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR	
3		X
4	SHANE KHOOMS,	
5		PLAINTIFF,
6	-against-	Case No.:
7		1:11-cv-05910 (PKC-RER)
8	<u> </u>	
9	CITY OF NEW YORK, DEVON FRE ORTLIEB, ROBERT HENDERSON,	JOSEPH SEMINARA
10	and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 THRC INDIVIDUALLY (the names John	n and Jane Doe
11	being fictitious, as the na presently unknown),	imes are
12		DEFENDANTS.
13		X
14	DATE: Decem	mber 16, 2013
15	TIME: 10:10	) A.M.
16		
17	DEPOSITION of the	e Defendant,
18	DEVON FREED, taken by the P	Plaintiff,
19	pursuant to a Court Order a	and to the
20	Federal Rules of Civil Proc	edure, held at
21	the offices of Leventhal &	Klein, LLP, 45
22	Main Street, Brooklyn, New	York 11201,
23	before Gary Merola, a Notar	ry Public of the
24	State of New York.	
25		

1 D. FREED 2 having a conversation with him about what 3 happened? 4 Α. What I can say is that being 5 the lead detective in a police involved 6 shooting on several occasions, I have 7 always sat in on GO15s, whether I announced 8 my name or didn't announce my name, I can't 9 recall. 10 It would be the normal 11 procedure for me to sit in on those 12 interviews and I have no specific recollection of that GO15 per se. 13 14 Lieutenant Henderson and former 15 Detective Seminara who is now former 16 sergeant now Lieutenant Seminara gave their 17 GO interviews several months later. 18 Do you have a recollection of 19 being present at their GO interviews? 20 Α. No. 21 You said there were other GO 22 interviews that you were present at. Ιt 23 sounded like more than one. 24 Let me be more specific. 25 don't have a specific recollection of any

- D. FREED
- 2 specific GO15, but if they were conducted
- 3 within a timely fashion, during the
- 4 immediacy of the investigation I would have
- 5 sat in on it.
- 6 Q. If it was months later, let's
- 7 say, you may not have?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You don't have a specific
- 10 recollection sitting here today of any of
- 11 those GO interviews?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You were never interviewed
- 14 yourself by anyone that you recall about
- 15 your involvement other than your attorneys
- and here at this deposition today?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. In terms of documents that you
- 19 prepared, is it fair to say you prepared an
- 20 extensive file on this case?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Did you review your file before
- 23 coming here today?
- A. Today, no.
- O. Recently?

- D. FREED
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What does your file consist of
- 4 just in terms of does it consist of DD5s,
- 5 crime scene photos?
- 6 Can you tell me in your own
- 7 words what your file consists of?
- 8 A. DD5s, computer paperwork,
- 9 identification paperwork, crime scene
- 10 paperwork and anything else that would have
- 11 been helpful in persuing this
- 12 investigation.
- Q. And notes that you took as you
- 14 went along?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The shooting occurred, do you
- 17 recall it being around 12:20 or 12:30 A.M.?
- 18 A. Yes, right after midnight.
- 19 Q. And you were assigned
- 20 immediately?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Would it be fair to say within
- an hour of the shooting or even less?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you do your DD5s as you

- D. FREED
- on the 6th, how long after the lineups did
- 3 you obtain the DD5 from Webster Hall?
- 4 A. I believe it was within a week.
- 5 O. Was it before or after Rhooms
- 6 was indicted?
- 7 A. I would say after.
- 8 O. On the day of the arrest and we
- 9 will go over the time, but my understanding
- 10 it was around 6:00 that he surrendered to
- 11 the precinct and Detective Wright was there
- 12 when he surrendered.
- Do you have a recollection of
- 14 that?
- 15 A. Vaguely. I was home and I got
- 16 a phone call.
- 17 Q. Did you respond to the precinct
- 18 right away?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You went to the 67th squad?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Where was Mr. Rhooms, was he in
- 23 a cell?
- A. I don't know if he was in a
- 25 cell or an interview room.

- D. FREED
- 2 Q. Did you interview him pretty
- 3 much right away?
- 4 A. Within a couple of hours.
- 5 Q. Did he tell you his whereabouts
- 6 at the time of the alleged shooting?
- 7 A. He did.
- 8 O. And he said he was at Webster
- 9 Hall?
- 10 A. Yeah. He was even more
- 11 detailed than that. He gave a specific
- 12 account of his whereabouts.
- 13 O. In terms of what buses he took?
- 14 A. A hundred percent.
- 15 O. And he provided his Metro card
- 16 and you wrote down the Metro card
- 17 information?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What other types of information
- 20 did you learn about his specific
- 21 whereabouts?
- 22 A. I don't want to say, we might
- 23 have obtained cell phone records. I don't
- 24 want to say for sure.
- 25 Q. Let's see if I can jog your

1 D. FREED 2 memory. 3 What I'm going to do, I will 4 show you the Bates numbered pages unless 5 you think we should mark them and let's see 6 if this refreshes his memory. 7 MS. JOYCE: That is fine. 8 Ο. On the part of the notebook 9 that you took some information. It is 10 Bates number New York City 4265. It starts with Alhue, Gaye. It starts with him. 11 12 Then Page 6266, the information 13 about Seian, Gail, and then you were 14 talking about information about Mr. Rhooms 15 on Page 4267. 16 Specifically on 4268 it looks 17 like there is bus information and other 18 details going into 4269. And then some more bus information underneath Lou 19 20 Lieberman's name on Page 4270. I think 21 that is where it ends. 22 So starting at 4265, take a 23 moment and look at those pages. 24 Α. Okay.

Just generally, are those some

25

Ο.

- D. FREED
- of the pages in your notebook?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. Where you write down the
- 5 information he gave you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is that information where you
- 8 wrote the bus information, bus to train,
- 9 are those times next to it?
- 10 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 11 Q. And he was very detailed about
- 12 what transfers he made and where he took a
- train, where he walked, for instance?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 O. Was this information he gave
- 16 you on September 6, 2010 at or around
- 17 6:00 p.m.?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 O. Was he under arrest when he
- 20 gave that information?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. What was the basis for the
- 23 arrest at that point?
- A. The identification by Seminara?
- O. Seminara?

- D. FREED
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. That was the photo array you
- 4 had?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Is the photo array hit enough
- 7 to make an arrest?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When Rhooms came in and gave
- 10 you that information, did you remark like
- 11 you did today about how detailed it was?
- 12 A. Personally. I definitely took
- 13 notes in my head.
- Q. Would you say he was unusually
- 15 detailed?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. In your own words if you can
- tell me why in comparison to other alibis
- 19 what you received why this was remarkable
- 20 to you?
- 21 A. Well, I had two thoughts in
- 22 mind.
- First of all, you rarely get a
- 24 detailed alibi to that extent. The two
- 25 thoughts in my head were either he's really

1 D. FREED 2 trying to protect himself and he is really 3 guilty or, you know, maybe he's not guilty 4 and I guess he should come out and say it. 5 At that point I already had 6 doubts in my mind whether in fact he was or 7 was not the right person. But with the 8 information that I had prior to speaking to 9 him and prior to the alibi my hands were 10 tied, there was probable cause to arrest 11 and he had to be arrested. 12 If you had doubts in your mind Ο. 13 does that not affect the probable cause 14 analysis that you have to make as an 15 arresting detective? 16 In other words, probable cause 17 is reason to believe that a person committed a crime? 18 19 Α. Right. 20 Ο. You felt there was some reason 21 to believe that maybe he did not commit the 22 crime, correct? 23 I felt that his alibi was much 24 too detailed for and either he definitely 25 committed the crime or we had the wrong

- D. FREED
- 2 person. That was from my experience of
- 3 interviewing thousands of people.
- 4 Q. Did you discuss that opinion
- 5 that you formed with anyone else?
- A. At that time, no.
- 7 Q. At the time Rhooms surrendered
- 8 and you obtained this information, were you
- 9 at that time the designated arresting
- 10 detective for this case?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. In terms of the information
- that you got, did Rhooms produce a Metro
- 14 card to you?
- 15 A. I don't know if -- he didn't
- 16 really come in and say this is where I was,
- 17 here is my Metro card. I believe I
- inquired in regards to the Metro card and
- 19 then it was produced.
- I don't remember if he gave it
- 21 to me or if his brother came in at a later
- 22 time. I don't remember exactly how I got
- 23 posession of the Metro card.
- Q. But before the lineup?
- 25 A. Before the lineup?

1 D. FREED 2 Ο. Did you have the Metro card 3 before the lineup? 4 None of that information from Α. 5 the Metro card was done until after the 6 lineup and after the arrest. Well after. 7 When you say "well after", was Ο. 8 it on the 7th later the next day? 9 Α. I don't know what day it was. 10 I probably and again I don't want to be 11 specific, don't hold me down to the date or 12 time, but I would say I didn't get into, 13 really get into trying to discover that 14 alibi for at least twenty-four hours, 15 forty-eight hours after the arrest. 16 Ο. Is a Metro card -- have you 17 ever run a Metro card on a suspect or a 18 person to determine there whereabouts? 19 I had it in the past. It would 20 not be normal procedure for me. 21 Ο. Is it something that is 22 readily, that is not too difficult to do if 23 you needed to do? 24 Α. It's a phone call.

It is a phone call to who, New

25

Ο.

1 D. FREED 2 card could have been his girlfriend's, she 3 could have been in Queens and here is my 4 Metro card for your alibi. It could have 5 been anybody's Metro card who was in Queens 6 at that time. 7 Information about Webster Hall. Ο. 8 Did you have knowledge on the 9 date of the arrest that Webster had or 10 likely had surveillance video? 11 Α. No. I surmised that all big 12 clubs in Manhattan now all have intense video surveillance. There was a new, 13 14 unbeknownst to me I don't go to clubs any 15 more, but now when they check your I.D.s 16 they put your New York State license 17 through a machine that actually records who 18 you are. That technology I did not know 19 until I was in fact in contact with Webster 20 Hall. 21 Was that technology in use with Ο. 22 the license or I.D. in September of 2010? 23 Α. Yeah. 24 O. In terms of Rhooms giving you 25 specific details about being in Webster

1 D. FREED 2 Hall, did you, did he tell you that it was 3 at the time of the shooting that he was at 4 Webster Hall? 5 He said he was at Webster Hall 6 at this time. 7 Ο. Did you make any phone calls to 8 Webster Hall while he was in custody to 9 check it out? 10 Α. No. 11 Ο. Why not? 12 That would not be -- it is not Α. 13 incumbent upon me to prove his alibi. 14 It is incumbent upon me to 15 conduct my investigation and at that point 16 there was probable cause to arrest and 17 that's what we did. 18 Then subsequent to that was on 19 my own behalf of doing it. Like I said 20 earlier I had a feeling, you know, it was something in my mind that said that this 21 22 alibi needed to be investigated further. 23 Because nobody wants to put 24 anybody in jail who doesn't deserve to be 25 in jail. There is no alibi for me to solve

1 D. FREED 2 or not dissolve this case. 3 I retired a first grade 4 detective. I'm at the top of my 5 profession, okay and was chosen for this 6 investigation because of who I was, so 7 whether I solve it or don't solve it it 8 meant nothing to me. It is not a feather 9 in me cap or a mark against me. 10 But at the end of the day our 11 job is to put quilty people in jail and 12 there was something about this alibi that I 13 felt needed to be investigated further even 14 though there was probable cause to arrest 15 him. 16 Ο. If you had been able to 17 corroborate the video that ultimately ended 18 up in your file, if you had been able to 19 see that video or obtain it on the date of 20 the arrest, would you have proceeded with 21 the arrest? 22 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 23 You can answer. 24 Α. I can't answer that. 25 Well, you said that you saw the Q.

- 1 D. FREED 2 video, it is part of your file, right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And you observed Shane Rhooms Ο. 5 in the video at Webster Hall around the 6 time of the shooting, correct? 7 Α. Right. 8 O. So, if you had that video while 9 Rhooms was still in custody before a 10 complaint was drawn up by the DA's office, 11 what would you have done? 12 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 13 You can answer. 14 I don't know. Like I said 15 there was probable cause. We had an 16 identification from a police officer along 17 with other corroborating information so. 18 Ο. Well, did the video contradict 19 the probable cause that you had? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Just hypothetically, I know you
- didn't have the video, but if you had it,

  Shane Rhooms surrendered at 6:00 and let's

  say by 9:00 p.m. you had somebody run up to

  Webster Hall and get the DVD or video,

1 D. FREED 2 to get together for this year, right? 3 Α. We got together in September. 4 Did this case come up at all Ο. 5 when you saw him? 6 Α. No. 7 Ο. Did he let you know that he 8 even had a deposition? 9 Α. No. 10 What about Joe Seminara, did Ο. 11 you know him? 12 Α. Just from downstairs. 13 Ο. When you say from downstairs --14 Α. He was a cop. 15 Did you ever have any problems Ο. 16 with him? 17 Α. No. 18 Did you ever have any good Ο. 19 positive situations with him prior to this? 20 Α. What I would say I knew that he 21 was a good cop so I was probably more 22 friendly with him than others because he 23 was a good cop out in the street. 24 I kind of have a personality, I

could have been very abrasive to guys that

- 1 D. FREED
- 2 I feel are good guys and Joe was a good
- 3 guy. That is a good way to put it.
- I won't speak to you if you had
- 5 less than five years on the job.
- 6 Q. What did you do when you heard
- 7 the yelling over the radio?
- 8 A. We ascertained the location and
- 9 we put our coats on and went out.
- 10 Q. Who was there, to the best of
- 11 your recollection?
- 12 A. Greg Barrett, I think Enright
- was there already, I probably went out with
- 14 Enright, you know what, I can't say who was
- 15 definitely working that night. I can tell
- 16 you the names of the guys on my team.
- 17 Q. Was Michael Enright your
- 18 supervisor that day?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. Was Frank Sciortino on that
- 21 team?
- 22 A. Yeah, I think he was working.
- I believe he was newly assigned to the
- 24 office then too. This was like his first
- 25 fiasco.

- 1 D. FREED 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And because the person, if the 4 person shot at anybody, it would be a big 5 deal, but if he shot at three supervisors, 6 it was a very serious investigation to find 7 this person? 8 MS. JOYCE: Objection. He can answer it. 9 10 Α. Yeah. 11 Do you remember showing this to 0. 12 Rob Ortlieb? 13 I did not show this wanted Α. 14 poster to anybody. 15 In terms of it being 16 distributed borough wide. Let's talk about 17 how it was distributed in the 67. 18 Were they posted on the front 19 door of the precinct? Where would they 20 have been posted? 21 Most likely it would have been Α. 22 a stack of them at the front desk where the
- 25 O. Did Rob Ortlieb, Rob Henderson

23

24

sergeant sits, there might have been one on

the wall. Not plastered like wallpaper.

1 D. FREED 2 and Joe Seminara have access to the wanted 3 poster on September 6th? 4 MS. JOYCE: Objection. You can 5 answer if you know. 6 I don't know. I'm sure they Α. 7 did. 8 O. If they were at the precinct 9 that day, would they have the access to it? 10 Α. Sure. 11 Let's talk a little bit about Ο. 12 background. 13 You joined the department in 14 193? 15 Yeah. Α. 16 Is that when you entered the Ο. 17 academy and graduated from the academy? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. From there where were you 20 assigned? 21 Α. The 67. 22 Were you there until you Ο. 23 retired? 24 Α. Yup. 25 Did you have any intervening Q.

- 1 D. FREED
- 2 obligation to let them know, one way or the
- 3 other, I'm not saying that you did, as both
- 4 victim and fellow officers?
- 5 A. Did I feel an obligation to let
- 6 them know?
- 7 O. That this is how the
- 8 investigation worked out and I think it is
- 9 the wrong guy?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you feel uncomfortable that
- 12 was the wrong guy when you knew it was
- three of them, not one, not two, but three
- that Rhooms was the wrong guy?
- 15 A. Did I feel uncomfortable, no.
- 16 Q. When you got the investigation,
- 17 did you immediately learn whether they were
- 18 eyewitnesses to the shooting?
- 19 A. Did I immediately learn?
- Q. When you get the case, did you
- leave the squad office, did you go out to
- 22 222 Lenox right away?
- 23 A. Yes.
- O. Do you remember who was with
- 25 you? Did go with Barrett or just whoever

1 D. FREED 2 was there? 3 I don't remember specifically, Α. 4 but it would probably have been Barrett. 5 When you got to 222, what is Ο. 6 the first thing that you did? 7 Α. I assessed the scene. 8 Ο. How did you do that? Did you 9 virtually assess it, did you talk to 10 victims? 11 I walked around, I didn't speak Α. 12 to the victims immediately. Probably, I don't remember specifically, I could say 13 14 what my normal behavior would have been. 15 I would have walked up to the 16 scene, assessed it, I probably approached 17 the head supervisor who was on the scene. 18 Ο. Do you know who that was? 19 Α. I don't. Like I said just a 20 general trying to take in the whole 21 situation, look for cameras. 22 Let me just finish the line Ο. 23 that I was going before so we are not 24 jumping around in many places. 25 When you became a third grade

- D. FREED
- 2 they interviewed?
- 3 A. They were that night.
- 4 O. And this information that
- 5 Trevor said, those are the buddies --
- 6 A. Those guys hangs out with the
- 7 guy that I saw shooting at the cops and
- 8 then running away.
- 9 Q. Now, this was conveyed to you
- 10 by the police officers that brought Trevor
- 11 Perez in?
- 12 A. At first by the cops, they said
- 13 something to the effect of this guy pointed
- these two guys out as being friends with
- 15 the guy that he saw shooting the gun.
- Q. And you don't know who those
- 17 other two guys are?
- 18 A. I mean there are interviews of
- 19 that.
- Q. Two guys that were in the
- 21 precinct that morning as well?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Did you interview those two
- 24 quys yourself?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	D. FREED	
2	Q. And Trevor Perez yourself?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. In what order did you do it?	
5	A. I don't recall.	
6	Q. Is there any documentation	
7	other than the DD5s of what order you did	
8	them?	
9	A. No.	
10	Q. Why do you believe Trevor Perez	
11	was the super of the building?	
12	A. The cops that brought him to me	
13	said that he was the super and he said he	
14	was the super.	
15	And then I believe that after I	
16	questioned him about being a super he was	
17	well like I'm more of a porter.	
18	Q. Did you ever verify what his	
19	job was?	
20	A. No.	
21	Q. Was the two cops that brought	
22	him to you or made him known to you?	
23	A. Yeah.	
24	Q. Were they uniform or detective?	
25	A. I don't remember.	

1	D. FREED	
2	Q. No idea who they were?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Did they stay with him or you	
5	when you interviewed Trevor Perez?	
6	A. They were not with me during	
7	the interview. As far as being with him in	
8	the building I'm sure they were.	
9	Q. So, when you read this	
10	paragraph 23-D on page New York City 4112,	
11	that statement of important witness,	
12	eyewitness who wishes not to be identified	
13	states that the individual who fired the	
14	gun towards the police is known to sell	
15	drugs in the vicinity of 222 Lenox Road.	
16	You're certain hearing that that refers to	
17	Trevor Perez?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Could it refer to anybody else?	
20	A. No.	
21	Q. Other than yourself who would	
22	have known of this information?	
23	Who could have provided this	
24	information to Sciortino to this report?	
25	MS. JOYCE: Objection.	

- 1 D. FREED 2 I don't know. Α. 3 Do you know of anyone else who Ο. 4 was involved in speaking to Trevor Perez? 5 Nobody spoke to Trevor Perez. Α. 6 Ο. Was anyone with you when you 7 spoke to Trevor Perez? 8 Α. I believe Barrett was. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 Α. No. 11 Ο. Anyone come in or out during 12 the interview of Perez? 13 Α. Absolutely not. 14 You have come to learn that 15 Trevor Perez was questioned in a deposition 16 a few weeks ago? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. Prior to learning that he was 19 at a deposition, did you have a 20 recollection of questioning Trevor Perez on
- 22 A. Prior to the deposition?

the morning of the incident?

- Q. Yes, in your mind.
- 24 A. Did I speak to Trevor the
- 25 morning --

1 D. FREED 2 Probably when I got done with Α. 3 the interview with him. 4 You would have spoken to Ο. 5 Enright? 6 Α. Hang on. Let me withdraw that. 7 I'm thinking about the Seminara photo 8 array. 9 After interviewing Perez and 10 after interviewing the friend that he had 11 pointed out, we, I conducted several 12 computer checks looking for arrest 13 associates, specifically the two other 14 witnesses that mentioned the name Shane as 15 being there prior to the shooting and 16 through those computer checks came up with 17 the photo of Shane Rhooms. 18 Then I showed that photo to 19 Perez and that was my call. 20 Ο. So, with whom was there an 21 issue about showing photos that Sciortino 22 or Enright was involved with? 23 At a later time we did a photo array with, I believe, Seminara and that 24 25 was against what I would have wanted to be

1 D. FREED 2 done, but they felt it was necessary so 3 they overrode my decision. 4 Why did they think it was Ο. 5 necessary? 6 I can't say why they thought it Α. 7 was necessary. 8 Ο. They didn't tell you? 9 didn't debate it? 10 I debated it, by showing a 11 photo array prior to a lineup would be 12 suggestive and they felt there would be 13 enough time in between, that's what they 14 wanted. 15 Did they know that the wanted 16 posters were also being circulated through 17 the precinct for view by the officers, the witness officers? 18 19 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 20 You can answer that. 21 Α. I'm sure they did. 22 So, other than saying there Ο. 23 would be enough time that would pass, did 24 they have another reason for Seminara to 25 view the photo array?

- 1 D. FREED
- when it happened. I just don't have a
- 3 specific recollection of speaking to them
- 4 there.
- 5 Q. My understanding they stayed
- 6 there a little while until they went to the
- 7 hospital?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. They were there for a good
- 10 half-hour or more, but you don't remember
- 11 seeing them?
- 12 A. I repeat myself, I don't have a
- 13 specific recollection of speaking to them
- 14 there.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I mean if it is documented in
- 17 the DD5 maybe I did.
- 18 Q. Do you need your DD5s to answer
- 19 your questions today? Do you lack any
- 20 independent recollection of this
- 21 investigation?
- 22 A. Do I lack --
- 23 Q. Do you have any independent
- 24 recollection of this investigation?
- 25 A. I don't know what you mean.

1 D. FREED 2 Sitting here today you don't Ο. 3 remember specifically what you did at the 4 scene? 5 Right. Α. 6 Do you remember anything Ο. 7 specific you did at all on the morning of 8 September 6th outside of what is in the 9 paperwork? 10 Outside of what is in the Α. 11 paperwork, no. 12 In other words, the interview Ο. 13 of Trevor Perez, do you remember it other 14 than --15 I remember speaking to him, Α. 16 yeah. 17 That is what I mean. Do you Ο. 18 remember doing or speaking to anyone at 222 19 Lenox? 20 At that time, no. 21 Ο. So, you went to the scene and 22 at some point you got back to the precinct? 23 Α. Correct. 24 Ο. What did you do when you got

back to the precinct, what is the first

- D. FREED
- 2 thing that you remember?
- 3 A. I got a cup of coffee. I don't
- 4 know. I might have done computer checks on
- 5 the building. Nothing specific is jumping
- 6 out at me.
- 7 Q. At some point do you remember
- 8 anything specific?
- 9 A. At some point I remember two
- 10 cops bringing Trevor Perez, a witness to me
- and saying that we are bringing two guys
- that we interviewed into the precinct and
- 13 saying that they were -- I don't remember
- 14 the time frame of which is which, whether
- 15 Trevor Perez came first or the cops brought
- 16 the two witnesses first.
- 17 But I was told that these two
- 18 witnesses were pointed out by the super as
- 19 being friends of the shooter and them being
- 20 with the shooter prior -- no, being friends
- 21 with the shooter. That is it.
- 22 O. Just being friends with the
- 23 shooter?
- A. Just being friends with the
- 25 shooter, these two guys.

1	D. FREED
2	Q. We will get into Trevor Perez.
3	Do you remember the interview with Trevor
4	Perez?
5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. Was he free to leave?
7	A. Oh, yeah, a hundred percent.
8	Q. Do you think he knew that?
9	A. Trevor Perez was forthcoming.
10	Trevor Perez had a motive. He wanted those
11	kids away from the building. He did not
12	want them there. He did not want them
13	selling drugs there. He approached the
14	cops. He initiated the police contact.
15	Q. That is what you heard from the
16	cops or from him?
17	A. I heard the whole drug thing
18	from him and the cops told me that we were
19	approached by the super.
20	Q. Did you ever document any of
21	that information?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Why not?
24	A. Because Trevor Perez was in

fear for his life and he was trying to do

1 D. FREED 2 the right thing in keeping himself safe. 3 In putting the photo which is Ο. 4 New York City 187, I'm showing you that now 5 that is the copy that Trevor Perez signed? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. That is his handwriting? 8 Α. Yes. 9 You put that in your detective Ο. file? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Did you give it to Lou Ο. Lieberman or whoever was bringing the case? 13 14 Α. Sure. 15 And you disclosed it to the Ο. 16 DA's office? 17 I also disclosed to Lou Α. 18 Lieberman that we have to protect this guy. 19 Ο. By having his name in the 20 paperwork that would be turned over to the defense counsel in the criminal case and 21 22 having this document, how would not doing a 23 DD5 help protect his safety or his 24 confidentiality? 25 MS. JOYCE: Objection. This

1 D. FREED 2 witness would have no idea what 3 paperwork would be turned over to the 4 defense counsel. 5 Is there any paperwork that you 6 create in your arrest in your investigation 7 potentially would get turned over to the defendant? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Do you know if is that is 11 called Rosario material? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So you do know that. Ο. 14 putting this in the file Trevor Perez's 15 name was going to be out there, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 In fact his statement Ο. 18 identifying Shane Rhooms would be out 19 there? 20 Α. That is correct. 21 What was the reason for not Ο. 22 doing a DD5 which would have just 23 documented who was present when he gave the 24 statement and maybe some other details? 25 Honestly, I did what I thought Α.

1 D. FREED 2 would be the bare minimum, that would be 3 intrusive to Trevor Perez. 4 I dealt with many defense 5 attorneys over the year and some of them 6 are not as sharp as the other ones and 7 perhaps just that photo or that piece of 8 paper or in the case folder might get 9 overlooked if the defense attorney was just 10 going through DD5s. 11 So, I felt it was the less 12 intrusive of what needed to be done at the 13 time. 14 The signed statement of the Ο. 15 witness --16 Α. You are asking me the question 17 and I'm telling you what my answer is, what 18 my thought was. 19 The DD5 would have identified 20 you or anyone else present during the 21 interview, correct, so that is in essence 22 what you left out of the file, correct? 23 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 24 You didn't leave Trevor Perez's Ο. 25 statement out of the file, you just left --

1 D. FREED 2 You asked me a question, I'm Α. 3 telling you why I did what I did. 4 I'm asking a different Ο. 5 question. 6 What would have been in the DD5 7 had you had done it? 8 Α. It would have went something 9 along the lines on this date, at this time, I was present here with this witness here 10 11 who identified and that statement would be 12 in the DD5 and that would be it. 13 By not doing the DD5, but by Ο. 14 turning in this statement, all you left out 15 was that this involved you, the undersigned 16 or whoever else was present? 17 Α. Again --18 Would you agree with that? Ο. 19 Α. I would agree with that, yes. 20 What else would have been in Ο. 21 the DD5 other than who was present from the 22 department and a summary of the statement 23 that you actually turned over? 24 That would be it. Α.

So, is it possible that you

25

Ο.

1 D. FREED 2 prepared a DD5 for this, but it didn't 3 become part of the file? 4 Α. No. 5 How would the decision to not Ο. 6 do a DD5 protect anyone other than yourself 7 or whoever was in the room? 8 MS. JOYCE: Objection. It has been asked and answered. 9 10 MR. KLEIN: I never asked that 11 question. 12 There was nothing to protect Α. 13 myself because I'm present for the 14 identification. 15 But there is no mention of you 16 being present in the file? 17 As far as protecting Trevor Α. 18 Perez when I did confer with Lou Liberman, 19 I explained to him the situation, what we 20 had, what our intentions were and he agreed that we would not need Trevor Perez. 21 22 A different question. O. 23 To show probable cause. Α. 24 O. A different question. 25 We can read it back if you

1 D. FREED 2 want, but the question was simple. 3 How did the decision not to 4 make a DD5 protect anyone other than 5 yourself or who was present in the 6 interview? 7 Again, it did not protect me. Α. The decision not to do the DD5 was not to 8 9 generate additional paperwork and perhaps 10 from a less sharp defense attorney which I 11 have come across over the years, with there 12 not being a DD5 there for him to refer to, 13 might overlook that piece of paper. 14 Nothing about protecting myself. 15 I'm not saying that is what you 16 wanted to know. I'm just asking who it 17 would have protected other than you. 18 There is no reference to your 19 name being attached to this interview in 20 the file. So, the lack of a DD5 keeps your 21 name out of this interview? 22 Α. To what extent? 23 Ο. Just in general. The fact that the interview is part of the file, correct? 24 25 Α. Yes.

1 D. FREED 2 So, the only thing accomplished 0. 3 by the lack of the DD5 is that your name is 4 attached to it, would you agree? 5 I don't agree with that 6 statement. I explained to you why it was 7 done like it was done and that's what it 8 is. 9 Ο. But for a DD5, your name would 10 not have been attached to this interview in 11 this file, would you agree? 12 One more time. Α. 13 Unless you had done a DD5 which Ο. 14 you didn't, that would be the only 15 connection in this file between your name 16 and this interview? 17 MS. JOYCE: Objection. Are you 18 limiting to the file or that he told 19 Lieberman? 20 Α. I don't understand. 21 Ο. The DD5 would be the only 22 paperwork connecting you to this interview 23 in your entire folder had you prepared one, 24 correct? 25 Correct. And again the whole Α.

1 D. FREED 2 idea was that there was not an interview. 3 And that Trevor Perez's name was kept away 4 from, his name being out of the situation. 5 Why was New York City 187, the Ο. 6 statement with the photo, why was that put 7 in the file? 8 MS. JOYCE: Objection. Asked 9 and answered. 10 Because that was us confirming 11 that Shane Rhooms, that the name that we 12 came up with through computer checks and 13 the person that is identified by the two 14 witnesses as being their friends, was the 15 same person that we are talking about. 16 How is that consistent of there Ο. 17 being no interview with Trevor Perez? 18 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 19 Ο. You are saying that without 20 having a DD5 is down playing that he was interviewed? 21 22 Minimizing. Α. 23 How we putting that statement Ο. 24 minimizing? 25 MS. JOYCE: That has been asked

1 D. FREED 2 Α. Right. 3 Aren't, especially in a big Ο. 4 case like this, when there is a lot of 5 DD5s, a lot of witnesses, if you don't 6 write it down, how are you able to be able 7 to use the information? 8 Don't you have to write down 9 the information that you get in the DD5s? 10 Not every piece of information 11 and every statistic is relevant and needs 12 to be documented. 13 Like I said, I believe that we came across Shane Rhooms because the other 14 15 witness had named the name Shane and then a 16 computer inquiry with regard to an arrest 17 at 222 Lenox and the surrounding buildings 18 came up with the name Shane Rhooms. 19 Ο. They didn't mention Shane 20 Rhooms, they just mentioned the name Shane? 21 Α. Yes. You think that is when the 22 23 other individual, Jason Brown, the name 24 came up? 25 I'm sorry? Α.

- 1 D. FREED 2 You think the name Shane came Ο. 3 up from the other interview? 4 I believe so. Α. 5 Ο. Is that Shane Brown, New York 6 City 210. 7 Did you ask Jason Brown of the 8 last name of the Shane that he was talking 9 about? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. Did he tell you where Shane 12 lives? 13 Α. No. 14 Did he tell you another last Ο. 15 name? 16 Α. He told me Biggy, Courtney, 17 Shane and some girls. 18 Ο. You are looking at the DD5s 19 Let's move on, but I'm asking you if 20 you remember having a conversation with him about the last name of the Shane that he 21 22 was talking about?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. You don't know if he was
- 25 talking about another Shane other than

1 D. FREED 2 Shane Rhooms? 3 Α. Right. 4 To this date you don't know? Ο. 5 Right. Α. 6 You had a question about that, Ο. 7 didn't you, during the investigation? 8 What is that? Α. 9 Whether the Shane that Jason Ο. 10 mentioned was Shane Rhooms. 11 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 12 You can answer. 13 I had a question with --Α. 14 During the investigation you Ο. 15 got the name Shane only from Jason Brown; 16 is that correct? 17 Α. Right. 18 You didn't get a name Jason Ο. 19 Rhooms? 20 Α. Correct. 21 That is where this mug shot Ο. 22 came from, it was like a fireworks arrest 23 when he was ACD? 24 I don't know. Α. 25 So, did you ever go back to Ο.

- D. FREED
- 2 Jason Brown and say is this the Shane,
- 3 showing him the picture?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did he say it wasn't?
- 6 A. He said that's my man Shane.
- 7 Q. He said that's who he was with
- 8 earlier in the day?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. He didn't say that was the guy
- 11 who was at the scene of the shooting, he
- 12 said earlier in the day, correct?
- 13 A. Right. Neither one of those
- 14 guys said that he had seen or were there or
- 15 saw who did the shooting.
- 16 Q. But at that point you didn't
- 17 know that was the Shane he was talking
- 18 about as being, that he was with that
- 19 evening? He said he was with a Shane that
- 20 evening?
- 21 A. He said he was with a Shane
- 22 earlier. Is that the Shane you were with
- 23 earlier, yes.
- Q. Did you inquire how much
- 25 earlier, if it was earlier that afternoon

- D. FREED
   versus earlier that evening?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. If he had told you that early
- 5 in the day and not early that evening,
- 6 would you have inquired if there was
- 7 another Shane, if there was more than one
- 8 Shane?
- 9 MS. JOYCE: Objection.
- 10 You can answer.
- 11 A. I don't know. No, probably
- 12 not.
- 13 Q. After interviewing Jason Brown
- and showing him the photo, you have no
- 15 reason to believe Shane Rhooms was involved
- in the shooting based on that interview?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. You just knew that he was with
- 19 a Shane earlier that day?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. You don't know what time he was
- 22 with Shane?
- 23 A. I came to the conclusion that
- this person Shane was friends with these
- 25 two guys. That was my conclusion then.

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	X
4	SHANE RHOOMS,
5	PLAINTIFF, -against- Case No.: 11 CV 05910
6	(JG)(RER) CITY OF NEW YORK, ROBERT ORTLIEB,
7	individually, ROBERT HENDERSON,
8	individually, JOSEPH SEMINARA, individually, DEVON FREED, individually and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10,
9	individually, (the names John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),
11	DEFENDANTS.
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
13	X
	SHANE RHOOMS.
14	SHANE RHOOMS,
14 15	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO:
	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO: 13 CV 5006 (PKC)(RER)
15	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO: 13 CV 5006 (PKC)(RER) MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER,
15 16	PLAINTIFF,  -against- CASE NO:  13 CV 5006  (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK  SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN,
15 16 17	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO: 13 CV 5006 (PKC)(RER) MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO,
15 16 17 18	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO: 13 CV 5006 (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO, individually, KENNETH FUNG, individually, STEVEN XUEREB, individually,
15 16 17 18 19	PLAINTIFF,  -against-  CASE NO:  13 CV 5006  (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK  SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO, individually, KENNETH FUNG, individually,
15 16 17 18 19 20	PLAINTIFF, -against- CASE NO: 13 CV 5006 (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO, individually, KENNETH FUNG, individually, STEVEN XUEREB, individually, DEFENDANTS.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PLAINTIFF,  -against-  CASE NO:  13 CV 5006  (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK  SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO, individually, KENNETH FUNG, individually, STEVEN XUEREB, individually,  DEFENDANTS.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PLAINTIFF,  -against-  CASE NO:  13 CV 5006  (PKC)(RER)  MICHAEL ENRIGHT, individually, FRANK  SCIORITINO, individually, MATTHEW WALKER, individually, GREGORY BARRETT, individually, FRANCIS KIERNAN, individually, ADAM WRIGHT, individually, MICHAEL LEAVER, individually, DINO ANSELMO, individually, KENNETH FUNG, individually, STEVEN XUEREB, individually,  DEFENDANTS.  DATE: February 18, 2015

1 D. FREED 2 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 3 You can answer. 4 I would say it was likely that Α. 5 someone else filled in. 6 And given how much activity was 7 going on that night, do you have any way of 8 remembering who that would be? 9 Α. No. 10 Sitting here today, you're not 11 sure if Greq was in on it, you just 12 typically worked with Greg, if you could? That is correct. 13 Α. 14 So, if there was someone else 15 you don't know if it was someone else from 16 some other precinct or from the 67th? 17 What I can say is this, if it Α. 18 was someone else it was definitely not 19 anybody from an outside command. I could 20 say that for sure. 21 What would you base that on? Ο. 22 For the fact I wouldn't be Α. 23 sitting in on an interview with somebody that I didn't know. 24 25 0. Were you present when Trevor

1 D. FREED 2 Perez wrote the handwritten statement on 3 that mugshot photo? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And someone else may have been Ο. present, you just don't remember? 6 7 Α. That is correct. 8 MR. KLEIN: Off the record. 9 (Whereupon, a discussion was 10 held off the record.) 11 MR. KLEIN: Back on the record. 12 Since your last deposition, O. 13 have you spoken with Lou Liberman at all? 14 Α. No. 15 Have you heard or read his Ο. 16 testimony? 17 Α. No. 18 Ο. Have you become aware of his 19 testimony in this case? 20 I'm aware that he had Α. 21 testified. I'm not aware what it was. 22 Back in September of 2010, if 0. 23 you had gone out to a crime scene and then 24 drove back to the command, did you have a 25 personal practice that you would be the

- D. FREED
- 2 Rhooms when Hopeton Clark was interviewed
- 3 at 4:00 a.m.?
- 4 A. I can't say that that time is
- 5 accurate.
- 6 Q. Can you say it is inaccurate?
- 7 A. I can't say. I seem to think
- 8 in my mind that we interviewed him earlier
- 9 than 4:00 in the morning.
- 10 Q. But you are not sure?
- 11 A. I'm not sure.
- 12 Q. When you say we, you believe
- 13 you were part of that interview?
- 14 A. I believe I was.
- 15 Q. Do you remember anything else
- 16 about Hopeton Clark, what he looked like,
- 17 his demeanor?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you remember his demeanor?
- 20 A. I remember that he certainly
- 21 was not forthcoming with any information.
- O. But this DD5, does this reflect
- 23 the entirety of the relevant information
- 24 that you got from him?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 D. FREED So, he never made a connection 2 0. 3 to Shane Rhooms, if he did it would have 4 been written in this report, correct? 5 I can't say what Greg decided 6 to put in or not put into that report. Ι 7 know at some point after interviewing 8 Hopeton Clark and Brown that we had the 9 name Shane. 10 But assuming Greg was accurate 11 in his report and made a decision what to 12 put in was relevant, this suggests that he 13 didn't, you never got the name Shane from 14 Hopeton Clark? 15 Α. That is what that report
- 17 O. Why would Greq make a
- 18 determination not to put in?
- 19 A. I can't speak for Greg. I'm
- 20 saying that I can't speak for Greg.
- Q. As to what he put in or didn't
- 22 put in the report?

suggests, yes.

16

- A. Right.
- Q. But you are not aware of him
- 25 keeping certain information out of the

- D. FREED
- 2 report?
- 3 A. No, I'm not. Actually I would
- 4 like to rephrase that question.
- In all the years that I spoke
- 6 to Greg, that I worked with Greg, I would
- 7 never know him to leave something relevant
- 8 out of the report. He is a competent
- 9 investigator.
- 10 Q. Based on this report, in the
- interview of Hopeton Clark, didn't lead to
- 12 anything about Shane Rhooms?
- 13 A. Based on that report, yes.
- 14 Q. Is there any other meeting or
- 15 interview with Hopeton Clark that you know
- of that did lead to such information?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. This is not in writing you
- 19 don't remember it?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Page New York City 4125 is a
- 22 photocopy of Hopeton Clark's license and
- Jason Brown's license, do you see that?
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Do you know who would have

- D. FREED
- 2 or was it the second time?
- 3 A. The first time.
- 4 O. Looking at the Jason Brown
- 5 interview, he is the individual according
- 6 to the DD5, he said that he was with Big,
- 7 Courtney, Shane and some girls; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That is where you got the name
- 11 Shane from?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 O. Jason Brown never implicated
- 14 him in the shooting, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. At that point that Jason Brown
- 17 gave you the name Shane, you were able to
- 18 through background checks or name searches
- 19 come up with a name Shane Rhooms?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then Jason Brown confirmed
- 22 I know that is Shane Rhooms?
- A. Right.
- Q. And from there you prepared the
- 25 wanted poster, correct?

- D. FREED
- 2 A. At some point after that.
- 3 O. Well, look at the next DD5.
- 4 Take a look at page New York City 211 is
- 5 the page after New York City 210.
- 6 A. I think I testified to this
- 7 earlier. That the order of the DD5s might
- 8 not necessarily be the direct chronological
- 9 order.
- 10 Q. But they also might be?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. You don't know either way?
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. And once you got the photo, you
- did the photo I.D. with Sergeant Seminara,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. At some point, yeah.
- 18 Q. You remember that being around
- 19 5:00 a.m.?
- 20 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Look at page New York City 227.
- Does that show at 4:40 a.m. you constructed
- 23 the photo array?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Barrett actually wrote this,

1 D. FREED 2 but do you believe you worked on it the 3 photo array with Barrett? 4 Α. No. 5 Ο. You think he did it at your 6 request? 7 Yes. Α. 8 Ο. You were supervising Barrett 9 and definitely involved in the construction 10 of the photo array in terms of authorizing 11 it, but he actually, physically did it, is 12 that fair to say? 13 He wouldn't have done it 14 without you saying go ahead and do it? 15 Yes, that is correct. 16 Assuming the interviews of Ο. 17 Brown and Clark were around 4:00 and Trevor 18 Perez's photo was signed at 4:30, is it 19 fair to say that it was that I.D. by Trevor 20 Perez that allowed you to construct the 21 photo array which was shown to Seminara? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Without Trevor Perez Ο. 24 identifying Shane Rhooms as the person 25 involved in the shooting, did you have any

1 D. FREED 2 basis to link Shane Rhooms to the shooting 3 at that point? 4 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 5 You can answer. 6 Α. Just repeat it. 7 MR. KLEIN: Read it back. 8 (Whereupon, the referred to 9 question was read back by the 10 Reporter.) 11 Α. Without Trevor Perez, no. 12 And you had no basis to Q. 13 construct a photo array of possible 14 suspects unless Trevor Perez had given you 15 an I.D., correct? 16 Correct. Α. 17 Do you recall that was shortly O. 18 after 4:40 when the photo array was 19 constructed at around 5:00 a.m. that 20 Seminara came in and made the I.D.? 21 It seems likely. I would have Α. 22 to see it. 23 Look at page 223, New York City Ο. 24 page 223. 25 Does that confirm that

1 D. FREED 2 means someone that has been used before for 3 information and brought before a judge to 4 be certified as reliable? 5 Α. Correct. Would you have ever called 6 Ο. Trevor Perez a CI to Lou Liberman? 7 8 Α. No. 9 Ο. If you portrayed Trevor Perez 10 to Lou Liberman as a CI, would that have 11 been misleading? 12 Α. Yes. 13 If you obtained the statement Ο. 14 from Trevor Perez, New York City 187, the 15 document, the signed statement from Trevor. 16 Α. Right. 17 And did not give it to Lou Ο. 18 Liberman or tell him that you had such a 19 statement, would that have been misleading? 20 MS. JOYCE: Objection. 21 You can answer it. 22 Sure, because we would have Α. 23 never been able to be in the position to put Shane Rhooms in a photo array for 24 25 Seminara to view and then proceed forward

1 D. FREED 2 from there. 3 When do you remember giving the 0. 4 statement that, the Trevor Perez signed 5 statement to Lou Liberman? 6 Was it when you met with him 7 for the grand jury? Your grand jury 8 testimony was on September 8th, two days 9 after the arrest. 10 Did you meet with Lou Liberman 11 at least once or twice before your grand 12 jury? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Did you provide your paperwork 15 that was done at that point to him? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Is that when you would have Ο. 18 given him the Trevor Perez photo? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. It's your testimony that you 21 gave it to him before the grand jury? 22 Α. Yes. 23 The second Trevor Perez Ο. 24 interview when he signed the statement, do 25 you know how long that interview lasted?